SIGALOS & LEVINE
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
1300 REPUBLIC NATIONAL BANK TOWER
DALLAS, TEXAS 75201
(214) 745-1761

JOHN L. SIGALOS
HAROLD LEVINE

ALFRED K. HALL*

*MINN BAR

CABLE ADDRESS [illegible]
TELEX [illegible]

WASHINGTON, D.C. [illegible]
400 FIRST STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT
& UNFAIR COMPETITION CAUSES

December 14, 1978

Mr. Michael Goldsmith, Esq.
Senior Staff Counsel
Select Committee on Assassinations
U.S. House of Representatives
House Office Building, Annex 2
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Michael:

I have read Charles J. Leontis' letter to you of December 11, 1978, and have several brief comments which I believe should be made of record.

First, I think Mr. Leontis did an excellent job of setting forth the consensus of the views of the experts present at the meeting at the Aerospace Corporation on December 2, 1978. There were some present who felt that Mr. Bronson's film did show certain images of definite interest and were of the view that the original film must be carefully studied, but I leave it to them to comment directly to you as to their views.

Second, while interpretation of Mr. Bronson's film and any enhanced version thereof is to be left to the experts, I do feel qualified to comment as to what should be done based on certain conclusions reached by the experts. Specifically, all experts present at the December 2, 1978 meeting were of the opinion that the Bronson film is of superior quality as compared to the Hughes film and that movements were observed in the 6th floor windows of the Texas School Book Depository. In my opinion these facts, in and of themselves, mandate proper further analysis of the Bronson film. It should be noted that even if, based solely on viewing the poor quality 16 mm. copy of Mr. Bronson's film, some experts may feel at this point that the motion in the Bronson film may only exhibit dynamics similar to that in the Hughes films, this does not militate against the necessary further study of the Bronson film. As Mr. Leontis stated in his letter referring to this motion in the windows, "Computer analysis of the Bronson film similar to that applied to the Hughes film may clarify this issue especially since the Bronson film is of superior quality to the Hughes film."

As an attorney, I cannot conceive of a piece of evidence as relevant as Mr. Bronson's film not being fully studied to the fullest extent possible; particularly when it deals with the murder of a President of the United States of America

In keeping with the agreed procedure, I am sending a copy of this letter to each participant at the December 2, 1978 meeting at The Aerospace Corporation.

Sincerely,

SIGALOS & LEVINE

John L. Sigalos

JLS/dar

cc: Dr. H. C. Andrews
Mr. N. K. Baker
Mr. Robert Chiralo
Mr. David D. Garber
Alfred E. Hall, Esq.
Dr. B. R. Hunt
Mr. E. A. Larson
Mr. Charles J. Leontis
Harold Levine, Esq.
Mr. Al Mandl
Mr. John Parsons
Mr. David Rosenthal
Mr. Robert Selzer